		$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/640,037	SCHUTZER, DANIEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel L. Greene	3621
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	6 (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in) or other appropriate commu RIGHTS. This application is s	this application. If not included inication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>9/24/2004</u> .		
2. 🔀 The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-12,14,16-36 and 39-44</u> .		
3. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on <u>16 September 2000</u> are accepted b	y the Examiner.	
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON! THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subn INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	e been received. e been received in Application comments have been received of this communication to file MENT of this application.	n No If in this national stage application from the a reply complying with the requirements
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in	son's Patent Drawing Review - 's Amendment / Comment or 1.84(c)) should be written on th	in the Office action of seed and the deck of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	osit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☑ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Su	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	Paper No./l 08) 7 ☐ Examiner's	Mail Date Amendment/Comment
Paper No./Mail Date	_	
	0 07 5	Statement of Decease for Allewance
 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	8. ⊠ Examiners : 9. ☐ Other	Statement of Reasons for Allowance

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

As per claims 1, 21, and 25 the closest prior art of record Williams et al. US 6,016,484, Paltenghe et al. US 2001/0011250 A1, Gutman et al. US 5,221,838 taken either individually or in combination with other prior art of record fails to teach or suggest upon receiving an indication that a user wishes to finalize a transaction at the merchants web site, comparing the information in the user's electronic wallet with the information in the merchant's electronic wallet and if there is a difference, replacing the merchant's data with the data from the user's electronic wallet.

The specific allowable feature, which distinguishes the present invention over the prior art is comparing the information in the user's electronic wallet with the information in the merchant's electronic wallet and if there is a difference, replacing the merchant's data with the data from the user's electronic wallet. The uniqueness is that the prior art does not have a comparison step and automatic replacement of data if the merchant's information is different from the user's information. The closest art shows adding information if it is missing but not comparing and replacing if different.

Claims 2-12, 16-20, are dependent upon Claim 1 and thus have all the limitations of claim 1 and are allowable for that reason.

Claims 22 - 24 are dependent upon Claim 21 and thus have all the limitations of claim 21 and are allowable for that reason.

Claims 26-36, 38, 40-44, are dependent upon Claim 25 and thus have all the limitations of claim 25 and are allowable for that reason.

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 2. Williams et al. US 6,016,484 discloses the different types of transactions that can be done utilizing electronic wallets.
- 3. Gutman et al. US 5,221,838, discloses the use of electronic wallets utilizing wireless methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Greene whose telephone number is 703-306-5539. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur. 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell can be reached on 703-305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, sontact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500

11/18/2004/DLG